(PC) Mitchell v. Adams, et al.

Doc. 120

1	3. This case is of a type that attorneys in this district ordinarily do not accept without
2	prepayment of a fee;
3	4. This case is not a fee generating case within the meaning of California Business and
4	Professional Code § 8030.4(g);
5	5. This case has sufficient merit to warrant appointment pursuant to General Order No.
6	230;
7	Therefore this court orders as follows:
8	1. The King Hall Civil Rights Clinic is appointed as attorney for plaintiff pursuant to
9	General Order No. 230;
10	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send counsel a copy of the file in this matter; and
11	3. Counsel shall submit all deposition transcript costs for payment pursuant to section
12	8030.6 of the California Business and Professions Code. All other contemplated costs shall be
13	handled as described in General Order 230.
14	4. This case is set for status conference on April 7, 2011 at10:00 a.m.
15	Dated: March 11, 2011
16	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
17	
18	United States Magistrate Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	