(HC) Brodheim v. Veal, et. al.	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MICHAEL J. BRODHEIM,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-06-2326 LKK GGH P
12	VS.
13	M. VEAL, et al.,
14	Respondents. ORDER
15	/
16	The stay in this matter having been lifted, by Order, filed on July 26, 2010, the
17	parties are herein directed to file concurrent supplemental briefing, within twenty-one days,
18	regarding the effect of the en banc decision in <u>Hayward v. Marshall</u> , 603 F.3d 546 (9 th Cir. 2010)
19	on this case. Each party's supplemental briefing is not to exceed ten pages. At the expiration of
20	the time for filing supplemental briefing, this matter will be deemed submitted.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	DATED: July 30, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	
25	
26	GGH:009 brod2326.ord

Doc. 48