(HC) Cromwe	nwell v. Prosper et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	6		
7	7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	LANCE ARMAN CROMWELL,		
11	Petitioner, No. CI	V S-06-2412 FCD GGH P	
12	vs.		
13	K. PROSPER, et al.,		
14	4 Respondents. <u>ORDE</u>	<u>R</u>	
15	5		
16	Petitioner pro se filed a habeas petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on		
17	November 1, 2006. In an Order, filed on February 7, 2007, adopting the Findings and		
18	Recommendations, filed on December 4, 2006, the petition was stayed pending exhaustion of		
19	petitioner's fourth ground for relief and petitioner was therein directed to inform the court of the		
20	state supreme court's decision within 30 days of a decision being rendered, after which the matter		
21	was to proceed. It has been more than two and one-half years with no further word from		
22	petitioner.		
23	\\\\\		
24	\\\\\		
25			
26			
	1		

Doc. 7

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner must show cause, within	
2	21 days, why the stay in this matter should not be lifted.	
3	DATED: November 12, 2009	
4	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6	GGH:009 crom2412.osc	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		