

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL H. GOVIND,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VEAL, et al

Defendants

) No. 2:06 CV 02467 Consolidated with
) 2:08 CV-01183 ODW


ORDER

On April 20, 2011 Plaintiff filed his “Motion to Object and Opposition to Defendant’s (sic) Attorney / Williams and Associates to Dismiss Plaintiff Civil Right Claim under sect § 1983 Should Be Denied.” [61] In it, he “moves [the] copurt to Discharge the following defendants from this civil proceeding: Defendants Oberst, Fiegener, [Fiegner], Flaherty, Nuchols, [Nichols], Gamgury,[Gamburg], Runnels, Lamberton, Garrison, Harrison, Gorden, Holmes, Gower, Wagner, Koped’s, [Kopec], **Jackson**, Martinez, **Coles**, Bates, **Hale**, **Micone**, **McDonald**, Spangle, and Tasi and Ms. Armetta [Armitta].”

///

1 On the same day, Defendants filed a statement of non-opposition. [59]
2 The names in **bold** do not appear on the docket as defendants. In any event,
3 the court hereby GRANTS plaintiff's motion of dismissal and the above
4 mentioned defendants are hereby DISMISSED from this action. With respect
5 to the defendants who do not appear on the docket, they too are dismissed
6 on motion of the plaintiff.

7
8
9 DATED: June 17, 2011



OTIS D. WRIGHT, II, DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28