(HC) Jones v	v. Kramer II		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JAMES BERNARD JONES, JR.,		
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-06-2583 JAM EFB P	
12	vs.		
13	M. C. KRAMER,		
14	Respondent.	ORDER	
15		1	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of		
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States		
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.		
19	On December 8, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner		
22	has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	/////		
		1	

Doc. 15

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 8, 2008, are adopted in
2	full; and
3	2. This action is dismissed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Rule 11 of the Rules
4	Governing Section 2254 Cases; L. R. 11-110.
5	DATED: February 18, 2009
6	
7	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	ONTED STATES DISTRICT JODGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	