

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JOSE GUADALUPE VILLANUEVA,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:06-cv-2706 LKK KJN P

12 vs.

13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 On May 7, 2010, defendant Basi filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant to
17 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.

18 Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: “Failure of the responding party to file written
19 opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
20 the granting of the motion” On May 5, 2009, plaintiff was advised of the requirements for
21 filing an opposition to a motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a
22 waiver of opposition to the motion.

23 Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules “may be
24 grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
25 inherent power of the Court.” In the order filed May 5, 2009, plaintiff was also advised that
26 failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be

1 dismissed.

2 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
3 date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion for summary judgment.
4 Failure to file an opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and shall result in a
5 recommendation that defendant Basi be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
6 41(b).

7 DATED: June 14, 2010

8
9
10 
11 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 vill2706.46o
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26