(HC) Taylor v	v. Sisto et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	FRANK TAYLOR,
12	Petitioner, 2:06-cv-2878-GEB-CHS-P
13	vs.
14	D.K. SISTO,
15	Respondent. ORDER
16	
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a timely notice of appeal of
18	this court's January 20, 2009 order denying his application for writ of habeas corpus. Before
19	petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c);
20	Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).
21	A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant
22	has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).
23	The certificate of appealability must "indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy" the
24	requirement. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3).
25	A certificate of appealability should be granted for any issue that petitioner can
26	demonstrate is "debatable among jurists of reason," could be resolved differently by a different
	1

Doc. 25

court, or is "adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Jennings v. Woodford*, 290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).¹

Petitioner has made a substantial showing in his petition that (1) the trial court's comments to prospective jurors during voir dire implicated the Sixth Amendment; (2) his *Wheeler-Batson* challenges were denied in violation of equal protection; and (3) instructional errors violated his due process rights.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability shall issue in the present action.

Dated: February 17, 2009

ARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

United States District Judge

¹ Except for the requirement that appealable issues be specifically identified, the standard for issuance of a certificate of appealability is the same as the standard that applied to issuance of a certificate of probable cause. *Jennings*, at 1010.