1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DWAYNE EICHLER, No. CIV S-06-2894-JAM-CMK-P	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>	
14	TILTON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brings this civil	
18	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.	
20	On January 28, 2010, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations	
21	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file	
22	objections within a specified time. Timely objections to the findings and recommendations have	
23	been filed.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule	
25	304(f), this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the	
26	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
	1	

I

1	proper analysis.		
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
3	1. Th	e findings and recommendations filed January 28, 2010, are adopted in	
4	full;		
5	2. De	efendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. 101) is granted;	
6	3. De	efendants Tilton, Woodford, Hickman, Terhune, Gomez, Williams, Ling	
7	and Tristan are dismissed from this action; and		
8	4. Th	is action will continue only against defendants Nessenson, Duckett,	
9	Stogsdill, Morris, and Sturges.		
10	DATED: March 31, 2010		
11			
12		/s/ John A. Mendez	
13		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
		2	