(PC) Eichler v. Tilton et al					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	DWAYNE EICHLER, No. CIV S-06-2894-JAM-CMK-P				
12					
	Plaintiff,				
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>				
14	TILTON, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brings this civil				
18	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is a motion for				
19	reconsideration (Doc. 132) of the Magistrate Judge's September 20, 2010 order.				
20	Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's				
21	order shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire				
22	file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly				
23	erroneous or contrary to law. The September 20, 2010, order is, therefore, affirmed.				
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
25	1. The motion for reconsideration (Doc. 132) is denied;				
26	///				
	1				

Doc. 147

1		2.	The Magistrate Judge's September 20, 2010, order is affirmed; and		
2		3.	No further motions for re	econsideration of this order will be consider	
3	DATED:	January 1	18, 2011		
4					
5				/s/ John A. Mendez	
6				UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG	
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

26

S DISTRICT JUDGE