

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 CHARLES CHATMAN,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 TOM FELKER, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:06-cv-2912-TLN-EFB P

ORDER

17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42  
18 U.S.C. § 1983. He requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to  
19 require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. *Mallard v. United States*  
20 *Dist. Court*, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an  
21 attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); *Terrell v.*  
22 *Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); *Wood v. Housewright*, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th  
23 Cir. 1990). When determining whether “exceptional circumstances” exist, the court must  
24 consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate  
25 his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560  
26 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court finds there are no  
27 exceptional circumstances in this case.

28 /////

1           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of  
2 counsel (ECF No. 110) is denied.

3 DATED: August 11, 2015.

4   
5 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28