1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CHARLES CHATMAN,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:06-cv-2912 LKK EFB P
12	VS.
13	TOM FELKER, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On August 1, 2012, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment and
18	informed plaintiff of the requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment. See Fed. R.
19	Civ. P. 56; Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998). On November 19, 2012,
20	after plaintiff failed to file an opposition, the court recommended that this action be dismissed
21	for failure to prosecute. Dckt. No. 87. On November 28, 2012, plaintiff filed an opposition.
22	Dckt. No. 88.
23	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:
24	1. Plaintiff's November 28, 2012 opposition is deemed timely filed;
25	2. The November 19, 2012 findings and recommendations are vacated; and
26	////
	1
	Dester

1	3. Defendants' reply, if any, is due within twenty-one days.
2	Dated: December 3, 2012.
3	Alming F-Bieman
4	EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I