1	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DENNIS G. CLAIBORNE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-2919 FCD EFB P
12	VS.
13 14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, , et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
16	/
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner without counsel proceeding in forma pauperis with a civil
18	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 30, 2007, the court screened
19	plaintiff's complaint, found that it did not state a cognizable claim against the California
20	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"), and informed plaintiff that he could
21	either proceed with his action solely against defendants Harrison and Battey or file an amended
22	complaint in an attempt to state a claim also against the CDCR. On December 12, 2007, plaintiff
23	submitted the documents necessary for service against defendants Harrison and Battey. The
24	court construes plaintiff's election to proceed solely against defendants Harrison and Battey as
25	consent to dismissal of all claims against the CDCR.
26	/////
	1

Accordingly, it is hereby recommended that the CDCR be dismissed from this action without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: January 31, 2008.

ÉDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE