

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRINA RENEE SANDERS,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-07-0154 DFL DAD P

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_/

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Although petitioner states in her request for appointment of counsel that she is indigent, she has not filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a) & 1915(a). Petitioner will be granted thirty days to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis.

After petitioner submits a properly completed application to proceed in forma pauperis, the court will entertain petitioner's request for appointment of counsel and her motion to hold these proceedings in abeyance pending disposition of a state habeas petition filed in the California Supreme Court on December 15, 2006.

////


////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. Petitioner shall submit, within thirty days after this order is filed, an  
3 application to proceed in forma pauperis; any request for extension of time to comply with this  
4 order should be filed before the thirty-day period expires; petitioner is cautioned that failure to  
5 comply with court orders may result in sanctions or a recommendation that this action be  
6 dismissed.

7 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner a copy of the in forma  
8 pauperis form used by this district in habeas corpus proceedings.

9 DATED: January 31, 2007.

10  
11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 DALE A. DROZD  
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 DAD:13:bb  
14 sand0154.101a