1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CLARENCE A. GIPBSIN, No. 2:07-cv-0157-MCE-EFB P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 SCOTT KERNAN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. He again requests that the court appoint counsel. As has previously been 19 explained, district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in 20 section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In 21 exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a 22 plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); 23 Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether 24 "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of success on the merits 25 as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the 26 legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having once again 27 considered those factors, the court still finds there are no exceptional circumstances in this case. 28 ///// 1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment o	f
2	counsel (ECF No. 291) is denied.	
3	DATED: August 15, 2016.	
4	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5	OTTIED STATES WINGISTRATE VODGE	
6		
7		
8 9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		