1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JOSE RAMIREZ TORRES, No. 2:07-cv-00193-MCE-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	Unknown,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. <sup>1</sup> The matter was
18	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.
19	On December 28, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings
21	and recommendations were to be filed within 20 days. Timely objections to the findings and
22	recommendations have been filed.
23	///
24	///
25	<sup>1</sup> Based on recent filings, it appears that plaintiff may actually be attempting to asserts
	a based on recent titling it solvers that highlight may achight be stremming to solver $a$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on recent filings, it appears that plaintiff may actually be attempting to asserts claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

2 that this case should not be dismissed because, due to his lack of English proficiency, he cannot 3 "prosecute [his] claims either effectively and/or promptly. . . ." The issue addressed in the findings and recommendations, however, was whether the action should be dismissed due to 4 5 plaintiff's repeated failure to comply with the court's orders. Specifically, and notwithstanding repeated extensions of time, plaintiff has not resolved the fee status for this case. Nor has he 6 7 filed a proper operative pleading. Finally, he apparently has the assistance of someone who does speak English. Therefore, plaintiff's assertion that language difficulties preclude him from 8 9 complying ring hollow. 10 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, 11 this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, 12 the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper 13 analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 14 15 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 28, 2007, are adopted in full; 16 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to 17 comply with court rules and orders; and 18 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file. 19 20 Dated: February 1, 2008 21 22 MORRISON C. ENGL AND JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 24

In the objections, which were filed on plaintiff's behalf by another inmate, plaintiff states

1

25

26

2