

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES B. PHIFER,  
Plaintiff,

NO. CIV. S-07-747 LKK/DAD PS

v.

O R D E R

SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND  
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, et al.,  
Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se* in this action, brings suit under the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq., Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq., and the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. Pending before the court is the plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's February 23, 2009 order in which the magistrate judge continued the pretrial conference and trial dates in the case.


Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 72-303(f) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), a magistrate judge's order

1 shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon  
2 review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear  
3 that the magistrate judge's rulings were clearly erroneous or  
4 contrary to law. The February 23, 2009 order is, therefore,  
5 affirmed.

6 Accordingly, it is ordered that plaintiff's request for  
7 reconsideration (Docket No. 75) is DENIED.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: September 4, 2009.  
10  
11  
12

13   
14 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
15 SENIOR JUDGE  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26