The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in Section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u>

<u>Dist. Court</u>, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v.

23

24

25

26

Doc. 121

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). Exceptional circumstances supporting appointment of counsel include an evaluation of plaintiff's ability to articulate his claims in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved, and the likelihood of success on the merits of plaintiff's claims. Agyeman v. Corrections Corporation of America, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004).

This action has survived defendants' motion for summary judgment on one claim against one defendant, a First Amendment retaliation claim against defendant Mayfield. All that remains in this action are the associated pretrial and trial proceedings, as well as any pertinent settlement negotiations, if any. Plaintiff is incarcerated in the Secure Housing Unit at California State Prison-Corcoran, where he avers that his access to current legal materials and assistance is significantly limited. Plaintiff also avers that English is his second language, that he has a limited education, and that he has been dependent on other inmates for legal assistance. Moreover, plaintiff states that he has a hearing impairment, for which he wears hearing aids, and that he suffers from glaucoma and asthma. Plaintiff's physical limitations, combined with the high level of security imposed on his incarceration, render it impracticable for plaintiff to effectively represent himself at trial. Plaintiff's education and access limitations underscore this conclusion. The court finds that these factors, taken together, warrant the appointment of counsel for the limited purpose of representing plaintiff through the conclusion of this case.

19

20 ////

////

21 ////

22 ////

23 ////

//// 2.4

25 ////

26 ////

A acondingly	IT IC	HEDEDV	ODDEDED	that
Accordingly.	11 12	HEKEBY	UKDEKED	tnat

- 1. The pretrial and trial dates currently set forth in the Further Scheduling Order filed March 19, 2012 (Dkt. No. 116), are vacated pending further order of this court.
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. No. 120), is granted. The Clerk of Court is directed to contact Sujean Park, Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator, for the purpose of locating an attorney admitted to practice in this court who is willing to accept this appointment for the purpose of pursuing this action on plaintiff's behalf through all pretrial and trial proceedings.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 22, 2012

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

rios0790.appt cnsl