(PC) Sims v.	Veal et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	STANLEY SIMS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-0898 MCE EFB P
12	VS.
13	VEAL, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants have filed a second motion to modify the scheduling order. Pursuant
18	to the court's December 31, 2008, order granting the first such motion, dispositive motions
19	should have been filed no later than February 2, 2009.
20	A schedule may be modified upon a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). Good
21	cause exists when the moving party demonstrates he cannot meet the deadline despite exercising
22	due diligence. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992).
23	Kenneth R. Williams, who supervises the attorney who thus far has represented the defendants,
24	filed the motion currently under consideration. In a declaration attached to it, Mr. Williams
25	asserts that the attorney previously assigned to this case is primarily responsible for the care of
26	her seriously ill husband. While that attorney worked diligently to complete a motion for

Doc. 44

summary judgment for timely filing, her husband has required three visits to the emergency room in the past four weeks. This has made it impossible to complete the motion and timely file it. Mr. Williams asserts that he intends to assign this case to a different attorney. He requests that the court set March 2, 2009, as the date by which dispositive motions must be filed.

Good cause appearing, defendants' February 2, 2009, motion is granted and the date for filing dispositive motions is extended. Dispositive motions must be filed no later than April 2, 2009. The court is granting one month more than is requested, but does not intend to entertain requests for further modification.

So ordered.

Dated: February 5, 2009.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE