1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	TONY PROTOPAPPAS,	Case No. C07-0901RSL-JLW
9	v. Petitioner, )	
10	M. KRAMER,	ORDER REGARDING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
11	Respondent.	
12	)	
13	This matter comes before the Court on petitioner's notice of appeal. Dkt. # 23.	
14	The Clerk of Court entered a notation in the docket that "CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY	
15	REQUIRED for processing to USCA "Because petitioner challenges the detention decision	
16	of an administrative body (the Board of Parole Hearings), a certificate of appealability is not	
17	necessary. Rosas v. Nielsen, 428 F.3d 1229, 1231-32 (9th Cir. 2005). The Clerk of Court shall	
18	process Mr. Protopappas' appeal accordingly.	
19	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20	Dated this 22nd day of October, 2009.	
21	MMS Casnik	
22	Robert S. Lasnik	
23	United States District Judge	
24		
25		
26		
	ORDER REGARDING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY	