(HC) Martine	ez v. Evans	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SILVERIO REYES MARTINEZ,	
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-07-0999 FCD GGH P	
12	vs.	
13	M. EVANS, et al.,	
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>	
15		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding through counsel, has filed this application	
17	for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United	
18	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On June 26, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.	
22	Respondents have filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-	
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the	
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and	
26	by proper analysis.	

Doc. 44

In the objections, respondent requests that if the court adopts the findings and recommendations, the court certify the matter of whether petitioner violated the statute of limitations for interlocutory review to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Certification of this issue is not warranted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 26, 2009, are adopted in full;
- 2. Respondent's August 4, 2008, reinstated motion to dismiss (no. 17) is denied; respondent's request for certification pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) is denied;
- 3. Respondent shall file an answer to the petition within thirty days of the date of this order; petitioner's reply is due thirty days thereafter.

DATED: July 24, 2009.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE