(PC) Rouser v. Rutherford et al

Doc. 50

## 10-15-09 Scheduled Afternoon The response to plaintiff's request for an interview does not demonstrate that plaintiff has been denied law library access for improper reasons. It is unclear how the court could order prison officials to provide plaintiff with law library access, for example, on a day the prison is on lockdown or if the law librarian is unavailable due to a work furlough day. Moreover, the Ninth Circuit has held that law library access is guaranteed through the pleading stages of a case only, Cornett v. Donovan, 51 F.3d 894, 898-899 (9th Cir. 1995, a stage long since completed in this case. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for law library access is denied because it is not well supported. If plaintiff requires additional time to file pleadings in the instant action due to inadequate law library access, he should file a request for extension of time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's October 21, 2009, motion for law library access (no. 49) is denied. DATED: November 17, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE rou1107.11