1

26

27

28

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LUELLAR JOHNSON; DORONICA JOHNSON; ) JONATHAN CHAPPELL, a minor, by and ) 12 through his Guardian Ad Litem; and ) 2:07-cv-1126-GEB-KJM ALEXANDRA DORRIS, a minor, by and 13 through her Guardian Ad Litem, 14 Plaintiffs, 15 v. ORDER STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT 16 OF CORRECTIONS (HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON), 1 17 18 Defendant. 19 On December 26, 2008, Defendant filed an ex parte request 20 21 which effectively seeks to continue the last law and motion hearing 22 date prescribed in the Rule 16 scheduling order. Plaintiff filed 23 an objection to the request on December 26, 2008. 24 The essence of Defendant's argument is that its counsel 25

did not prepare a motion which could be timely noticed for hearing on the last prescribed law and motion hearing date because counsel

The caption has been changed to reflect dismissal of Does 1-100 in the Order filed September 14, 2007.

worked on other cases and was not in his office on certain days. However, Defendant fails to show good cause exists justifying the modification of Rule 16 scheduling order it seeks. Therefore, the request is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 5, 2009

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JB. United States District Judge