(HC) Reddicl	k v. Felker
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CLINTON MORRIS REDDICK,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-07-1147 JAM KJM P
12	VS.
13	T. FELKER,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
19	On October 29, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Respondent
22	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26	proper analysis.
II.	1

Doc. 26

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 29, 2008, are adopted in full; and 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 13) is denied. 3. Respondent shall file his answer to petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus within sixty days. DATED: January 12, 2009 /s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE redd1147.806hc