(PC) Lugo v.	. Pai et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RUSTY LUGO,
11	Plaintiff, 2:07-cv-1230-GEB-KJM-P
12	VS.
13	DOCTOR PAI, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
19	On August 30, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25	ORDERED that:
26	
	1

Doc. 6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

1. The findings and recommendations filed August 30, 2007, are adopted in full;

and

2. The action is dismissed without prejudice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Dated: February 5, 2008

CARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge