

1 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney
2 KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN
Assistant U. S. Attorney
3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
4 Telephone: (916) 554-2700

5 Attorneys for the United States
6
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 APPROXIMATELY \$9,000.00 IN U.S.
CURRENCY, and

15 APPROXIMATELY \$4,580.00 IN U.S.
16 CURRENCY,

17 Defendants.

2:07-CV-01290-WBS-DAD

FINAL JUDGMENT OF
FORFEITURE

18 Pursuant to the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture, the Court finds:

19 1. This is a civil action against Approximately \$9,000.00 in U.S. Currency and
20 Approximately \$4,580.00 in U.S. Currency (“defendant currency”) seized on or about
21 January 12, 2007.

22 2. A Verified Complaint for Forfeiture *In Rem* (“Complaint”) was filed on June
23 29, 2007, alleging that said defendant currency is subject to forfeiture to the United
24 States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).

25 3. On July 2, 2007, the Clerk issued a Warrant for Arrest for the defendant
26 currency, and that warrant was executed on July 2, 2007.

27 4. On July 20, 27, and August 3, 2007, a Public Notice of Posting of the
28 defendant currency appeared by publication in Inter-City Express, a newspaper of

1 general circulation in the county in which the defendant currency was seized (Alameda
2 County). On July 18, 25, and August 1, 2007, a Public Notice of Posting of the defendant
3 currency appeared by publication in The Recorder, a newspaper of general circulation in
4 the county in which the defendant currency was seized (San Francisco County). Notices of
5 Publication were filed on August 8, 2007.

6 5. In addition to the public notice in The Recorder and Inner City Express,
7 actual notice or attempted notice was given to the following individual(s):

8 a. Wei Jei Ma

9 b. Cindy Woo

10 6. Claimants Wei Jei Ma and Cindy Woo filed verified statements alleging
11 their interest in the defendant currency on August 1, 2007, and answers to the complaint
12 on August 20, 2007. No other parties have filed claims or answers in this matter, and the
13 time in which any person or entity may file a claim and answer has expired.

14 Based on the above findings, and the files and records of the Court, it is hereby
15 ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

16 1. The Court adopts the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture entered
17 into by and between the parties to this action.

18 2. Judgment is hereby entered against claimants Wei Jei Ma and Cindy Woo
19 and all other potential claimants who have not filed claims in this action.

20 3. Upon entry of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, \$9,000.00 in U.S. Currency,
21 together with any interest that may have accrued on the total amount seized, shall be
22 forfeited to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), to be disposed of
23 according to law.

24 4. Upon entry of this Final Judgment of Forfeiture, but no later than 60 days
25 thereafter, \$4,580.00 in U.S. Currency shall be returned to claimants Wei Jei Ma and
26 Cindy Woo, through their attorney Sara Rief.

27 5. The United States and its servants, agents, and employees and all other
28 public entities, their servants, agents, and employees, are released from any and all

1 liability arising out of or in any way connected with the seizure, arrest, or forfeiture of the
2 defendant currency. This is a full and final release applying to all unknown and
3 unanticipated injuries, and/or damages arising out of said seizure, arrest, or forfeiture, as
4 well as to those now known or disclosed. The parties waived the provisions of California
5 Civil Code § 1542.

6 6. Claimants waived any and all claim or right to interest that may have
7 accrued on the defendant currency.


8 7. All parties are to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

9 8. The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California, Hon. William
10 B. Shubb, District Judge, shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final
11 Judgment of Forfeiture.

12 9. Based upon the allegations set forth in the Complaint filed June 29, 2007,
13 and the Stipulation for Final Judgment of Forfeiture filed herein, the Court enters this
14 Certificate of Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465, that there was reasonable
15 cause for the seizure and arrest of the defendant currency, and for the commencement
16 and prosecution of this forfeiture action.

17 SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: March 9, 2015

19 
20 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28