

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Marquis Vernard Walker

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

T. Felker

Defendants.

No.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORITY TO INCUR  
COSTS (APPOINTED COUNSEL) AND  
REQUEST FOR PAYMENT

REQUEST OF PRO BONO FOR PRE-APPROVAL OF EXPENDITURES

Complete this form and return it to the court (with two copies) for approval prior to incurring the cost for which reimbursement is requested.

I, Bhavani G. Murugesan, attorney for plaintiff(s), declare as follows:

I was appointed to represent plaintiff(s) in this action on January 14, 2011, by the Honorable Edmund Brennan, United States District Judge/Magistrate.

I believe that the following course of action is reasonably necessary to the prosecution of this action:

-EFB (PC) Walker v. Felker

Doc. 112

Travel to visit with client and rent car for travel.

(e.g., deposition of \_\_\_\_\_, defendant herein).

I have made reasonable inquiry and believe that the cost of this course of action will not exceed the amount of \$ 271.88.

I therefore request that this court authorize the expenditure in an amount not to exceed that stated above for the completion of this contemplated course of action.

REQUEST OF PRO BONO FOR PRE-APPROVAL OF EXPENDITURES - PAGE 2

Case Number: 2:07-cv-1323 WBS

The following payments of costs have been heretofore approved in this matter:

Amount Approved	Purpose	Amount Paid
	Travel to Salinas Valley State Prison	221.00
	Rental car	50.88

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed this 24 day of August, 2011, at Sacramento, California.

  
 Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

The above expenditure is  Approved  Denied \*\*

Or,

Good cause appearing therefore, this matter is set for discovery conference, pursuant to rule \_\_\_\_\_, on \_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_, .M. in Courtroom Number \_\_\_\_\_.

Dated: 9/7/11

  
 United States District Judge Magistrate Judge

- \*\*
1. The expenditure was not pre-approved.
  2. There is an insufficient showing of actual costs incurred.
  3. There is no showing that costs were reasonably necessary.