1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MARQUIS VERNARD WALKER, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-1323 WBS EFB P 12 VS. 13 T. FELKER, et al., 14 Defendants. ORDER 15 16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding with counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. 17 § 1983. The court is in receipt of a two-page ex parte motion, filed by plaintiff, to substitute his 18 attorney. Because the request discloses confidential attorney-client communications, it will be 19 filed under seal. E.D. Cal., Local Rule 141(d). Although plaintiff's current counsel agreed to 20 represent him on a pro bono basis, plaintiff does not have a right to appointed counsel in this 21 case. As plaintiff is well aware, district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent 22 indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 23 298 (1989). Plaintiff's request to substitute his current counsel is therefore denied. If plaintiff 24 wishes to proceed pro se in this action, he may so inform his counsel and request that she file a

(PC) Walker v. Felker

25

26

the date scheduled representing himself.

motion to withdraw. However, if plaintiff's counsel withdraws, plaintiff will proceed to trial on

Doc. 135

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to file pages 1-2 of plaintiff's April 26, 2012 ex parte motion to substitute counsel, under seal.
 - 2. Plaintiff's April 26, 2012 ex parte motion to substitute counsel is denied.

DATED: May 7, 2012.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE