(PC) Walker	v. Felker	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	MARQUIS VERNARD WALKER,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-07-1323 WBS EFB P
12	VS.	
13	T. FELKER, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On June 16, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither	
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	/////	

Doc. 50

4	2
	3
2	4
:	5
(6
,	7
	8
(9
10	0
1	1
12	2
1.	3
14	4
1:	5
10	6
1′	7
18	8
19	9
20	0
2	1
22	2
23	3
24	4
2	5
20	6

1. The findings and recommendations filed June 16, 2009, are adopted in full, with exception to the magistrate judge's reliance upon <u>Sw. Voter Registration Educ. Project v.</u>

<u>Shelly</u>, 334 F.3d 914, 918 (9th Cir. 2003) for the standard in considering motions for preliminary injunction; and

2. Plaintiff's October 29, 2008, and November 24, 2008, filings, construed together as a motion for a preliminary injunction, and considered under the standard recently articulated by the Supreme Court in <u>Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</u>, 129 S.Ct. 365 (2008) are denied.

DATED: August 19, 2009

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE