(PC) Walker v. Felker		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	MARQUIS VERNARD WALKER,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-07-1323 WBS EFB P
12	vs.	
13	T. FELKER, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On December 18, 2009, the court granted plaintiff's motion to compel and	
18	ordered defendants to, within seven days, serve responses to plaintiff's requests for admissions.	
19	Dckt. No. 59. On December 31, 2009, plaintiff filed another motion to compel, indicating that	
20	he had not yet received responses from defendants James, Bates, or Roche. Dckt. No. 61.	
21	Defendants filed an opposition brief on January 5, 2010, Dckt. No. 62, stating that on December	
22	22, 2009, they served plaintiff with their responses to plaintiff's requests for admissions. <i>Id</i> .	
23	Plaintiff did not file a reply brief. It appears that defendants have complied with the court's	
24	December 18, 2009 order.	
25	////	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 68

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's December 31, 2009 motion to compel is denied as moot. Dated: February 25, 2010. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE