

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 SCOTT CHARLES MATTHEWS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-07-1418 GEB KJM P

12 vs.

13 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
14 CORRECTIONS, et al.,


15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 On June 21, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the magistrate  
17 judge's order filed June 4, 2010, denying plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. Pursuant to  
18 E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or  
19 contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the  
20 magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

21 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the  
22 magistrate judge filed June 4, 2010, is affirmed.

23 Dated: June 29, 2010

24  
25   
26 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
United States District Judge