1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SCOTT CHARLES MATTHEWS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-1418 GEB KJM P
12	VS.
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,
14 15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	On December 28, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the
17	magistrate judge's order filed December 10, 2010, denying plaintiff's motion to compel.
18	Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly
19	erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not
20	appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
21	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
22	magistrate judge filed December 10, 2010, is affirmed.
23	Dated: January 18, 2011
24	1152011
25	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
26	United States District Judge