

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CURTIS HOLLIDAY,

Plaintiff,

v.

D.K. SISTO, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. CIV. S-07-1422 LKK/CMK

ORDER

_____/

Each of the parties in the above-captioned case has filed a Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). According to Local Rule 72-305, both the district court judge assigned to the case and the magistrate judge must approve the reference to the magistrate judge.

The undersigned has reviewed the file herein and recommends that the above-captioned case be reassigned and referred to the magistrate judge for all further proceedings and entry of final judgment.

////

1 IT IS ORDERED that any hearing dates currently set before the
2 undersigned are **VACATED**.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court reassign
4 this case to the Honorable Craig M. Kellison. All future documents
5 filed in the above-captioned case shall reference the following
6 case number: No. Civ. S-07-1422 CMK.

7 DATED: November 3, 2009

8 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton
9 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
10 SENIOR JUDGE
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 Having also reviewed the file, I accept reference of this case
13 for all further proceedings and entry of final judgment.

14
15 DATED: November 3, 2009

16 
17 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26