

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PUONGPUN SANANIKONE,

No. 2:07-cv-01434-MCE-KJN

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant,

vs.

PAUL TA, et al.,

Counterclaim-Defendants

On June 15, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.


Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

1 The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
2 concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.

3 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed June 15, 2010, are ADOPTED;
- 5 2. Defendant/Counterclaim plaintiff's application for default judgement against
6 counterclaim defendant Paul Ta (Dkt. Nos. 75 and 77) is denied without prejudice.

7 Dated: August 5, 2010

8 
9 _____
10 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26