(PC) Elmore	re v. Arong et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	,		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	TONIE ELMORE,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-07-1463 WBS EFB P	
12	vs.		
13	ARONG, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	/		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.		
19	On November 19, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections		
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed		
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:	ORDERED that:	
26	5 ////		
		1	

Doc. 26

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 19, 2008, are adopted in
2	full; and
3	2. Plaintiff's claims against defendants Wedell, Heintschell, Williamson, May,
4	Kaley and Hawkins are dismissed without prejudice.
5	DATED: January 22, 2009
6	Milliam Va Shabe
7	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	