(PC) Birks v. Santos			
1	 		
2			
3			
4	.		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRI	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	0 LAWRENCE BIRKS ,		
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-1	473 LKK DAD P	
12	2 vs.		
13	ROBERT SANTOS,		
14	4 Defendant. <u>FINDINGS AN</u>	D RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	/		
16	By order filed February 7, 2008, plaintiff's original complaint was dismissed and		
17	thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The court has since granted plaintiff		
18	several extensions of time to file an amended complaint. Most recently, on March 11, 2010, the		
19	court granted plaintiff thirty days leave to file an amended co	court granted plaintiff thirty days leave to file an amended complaint and cautioned plaintiff that	
20	failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day		
21	period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded		
22	to the court's order.		
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed		
24	without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District		
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U	J.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-	
	II		

Doc. 36

one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: April 21, 2010. Dale A Daget UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:sj birk1473.fta