

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MORRIS LA'MONT COFFEE,

1:07-cv-001029-OWW-SMS- (PC)

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE

D.K. SISTO, et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Solano County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

///

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
3 California sitting in Sacramento; and

4 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
5 filed at:

6 United States District Court
7 Eastern District of California
8 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
9 Sacramento, CA 95814

10 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 **Dated:** July 31, 2007

13 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28