24 considered to prove malice under the California privileges in defamation. This question must be resolved to prepare jury instructions for trial. The court is inclined to adopt the standard

25

of proof of malice set forth in Lytel v. Simpson, No. 05-01937-FJ, 2 2006 WL 2263943 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2006). For this reason, the court refers the parties to this opinion. They are encouraged to discuss whether application of this case is proper in their trial briefs. No additional briefing is requested.

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 13, 2010.