1	WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIRNEY, LLP		
2	DANIEL L. BAXTER (SBN 203862) 400 Capitol Mall, Twenty-Second Floor		
3	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 441-2430		
4	Facsimile: (916) 442-6664		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant		
	BRIAN DAWE, Plaintiff FLAT IRON MOUNTAIN ASSOCIATES, LLC, Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff GARY		
6	HARKINS, and Defendant RICHARD LOU	D	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BRIAN DAWE; FLAT IRON MOUNTAIN ASSOCIATES, LLC,	Case No. 2:07-CV-01790 LKK EFB	
12	formerly known as Flat Iron Mountain Associates, a Partnership,	[Consolidated Master Case Number]	
13	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS'	
14	V.	RESPONSE TO COURT'S MARCH 18, 2011 ORDER, AND MODIFYING	
15		ADDITIONAL DEADLINES	
16	CORRECTIONS USA, a California Corporation; CALIFORNIA		
17	CORRECTIONAL PEACE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, a California Corporation;		
18	JAMES BAIARDI, an individual; DONALD JOSEPH BAUMANN, an		
19	individual,		
20	Defendants.		
21	AND RELATED CLAIMS AND		
22	COUNTERCLAIMS		
23			
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
JRY, JLD &	653219.1		
LP Law	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME, ETC. (CASE NO. 07-01790 LKK EFB)		

WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIRNEY, LLP Attorneys At Law Sacramento

1	RECITALS	
2	On March 18, 2011, the Court issued an Order on Defendants' motion for judgment as a	
3	matter of law and motion for new trial. In that Order, the Court directed Plaintiffs "to inform the	
4	court within twenty-one (21) days of the issuance of this order as to whether they will (a) stipulate	
5	to the remitted punitive damage awards describe herein; (b) seek a new trial; or (c) intend to	
6	appeal this order." (CM/ECF Doc. No. 570, at 11:18-11:22.) Thus, the deadline for Plaintiffs'	
7	election falls on April 8, 2011.	
8	Pursuant to the parties' prior stipulations and the Court's prior orders, certain deadlines	
9	will come due shortly after Plaintiffs' election is made, including deadlines relating to (a)	
10	Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' Application for Determination of Prevailing Party Status, (b)	
11	Plaintiffs' Bill of Costs and Defendants' objections thereto, and (c) Plaintiffs' enforcement of	
12	judgment.	
13	Plaintiffs' counsel has a pre-planned out-of-state vacation that will keep him out of the	
14	office from March 30 through April 13, 2011. Because the matters referenced above require	
15	counsel's personal attention, and because the parties collectively wish to have readily identifiable	
16	deadlines tracking off of Plaintiffs' forthcoming election and the Court's amended judgment	
17	following that election, the parties respectfully request that the Court issue an order adopting the	
18	timelines set forth below.	
19	<b>STIPULATION</b>	
20	In light of the above, the parties HEREBY STIPULATE as follows:	
21	1. Plaintiffs shall have until the close of business on April 15, 2011, inclusive, to	
22	make the election directed at p. 11, lines 18-22 of the Court's March 18 Order (CM/ECF Doc.	
23	No. 570);	
24	2. It is anticipated that upon being notified of the election specified in Paragraph 1,	
25	above, the Court will issue a new or amended judgment. Thus, Defendants shall have five (5)	
26	court days, inclusive, following the Court's issuance of that amended judgment to file their	
27	response to Plaintiffs' Application for Determination of Prevailing Party Status (CM/ECF Doc.	
28	No. 520);	
RY, LD & P	653219.1 - 1 - STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME, ETC. (CASE NO. 07-01790 LKK EFB)	
AW		

WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD BIRNEY, LLP Attorneys At Law Sacramento

1	3. Plaintiffs will have fourteen (14) calendar days, inclusive, following the Court's			
2	issuance of the new or amended judgment referenced in Paragraph 2, above, to file their Bill of			
3	Costs (including supporting documentation);			
4	4. Defendants will have four	4. Defendants will have fourteen (14) calendar days, inclusive, following Plaintiffs'		
5	submission of their Bill of Costs to file objections thereto; and			
6	5. The stay of enforcement of judgment ordered by the Court on November 10, 2010			
7	(CM/ECF Doc. No. 530) shall be extended until thirty (30) calendar days, inclusive, following the			
8	Court's issuance of the new or amended judgment referenced in Paragraph 2, above.			
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
10				
11	DATED: March 24, 2011	WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD &		
12		BIRNEY, LLP		
13				
14		By: /s/ Daniel L. Baxter DANIEL L. BAXTER		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant BRIAN DAWE, Plaintiff FLAT IRON		
16		MOUNTAIN ASSOCIATES, LLC, Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff GARY		
17		HARKINS, and Defendant RICHARD LOUD		
18	DATED: March 24, 2011	MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS LLP		
19				
20		By: /s/ Dean J. Zipser		
21		DEAN J. ZIPSER Attorneys for		
22		CORRECTIONS UŠA, CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL PEACE OFFICERS		
23		ASSOCIATION, JAMES BAIARDI, and DONALD JOSEPH BAUMANN		
24				
25		<u>ORDER</u>		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
27	DATED: March 25, 2011.	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON		
28	652010.1	SENIOR JÜDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - 2 -		
URY, DULD & .LP	653219.1 - 2 - STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME, ETC. (CASE NO. 07-01790 LKK EFB)			
t Law				

WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIRNEY, LLP Attorneys At Law Sacramento