demonstrate is "debatable among jurists of reason," could be resolved differently by a different

26

Doc. 23

court, or is "adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Jennings v. Woodford*, 290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).¹

Petitioner has made a substantial showing in his petition that (1) his coerced and involuntary confession was admitted into evidence at trial in violation of his constitutional rights; and (2) he was prevented from presenting a complete defense in violation of the Sixth Amendment when the trial judge excluded proffered expert testimony.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability shall issue in the present action.

DATED: August 28, 2009.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

¹ Except for the requirement that appealable issues be specifically identified, the standard for issuance of a certificate of appealability is the same as the standard that applied to issuance of a certificate of probable cause. *Jennings*, at 1010.