

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DAVID B. JOHNSON,	}	
	}	Case No. 2:07-cv-02002-PMP-GWF
Plaintiff,	}	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
vs.	}	Motion to Proceed (#44)
R.J. SUBIA, <i>et al.</i> ,	}	
	}	
Defendants.	}	

---

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion of Court to Proceed (#44), filed July 6, 2010. The Court finds Plaintiff has shown good cause to issue a discovery schedule in this action. Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Motion of Court to Proceed (#44) is **granted**. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 1, 16, and 26-36, discovery shall proceed as follows:

1. Discovery requests shall be served by the parties pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 5 and Local Rule 5-135 and shall only be filed when required by Local Rules 30-250(a), 33-250(c), 34-250(c) and 36-250(c);
2. Responses to written discovery requests shall be due forty-five days after the request is first served;
3. Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(a), Defendants may depose Plaintiff and any other witness confined in a prison upon condition that, at least fourteen days before such a deposition, Defendants serve all parties with the notice required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(b)(1); and

