I

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 5 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 6 | -oOo- |
| 7 | |
| 8 | DAVID B. JOHNSON,)) 2:07-CV-02002-PMP-GWF |
| 9 | Plaintiff, |
| 10 | vs. ORDER |
| 11 | R.J. SUBIA, et al., |
| 12 | Defendants. |
| 13 |) |
| 14 | Before the Court for consideration is Defendants R.L. Heise, Jr., and C. |
| 15 | Campbell's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #41), filed on November 24, 2009. On |
| 16 | September 22, 2010, the Honorable George Foley, Jr., United States Magistrate |
| 17 | Judge, entered a Report of Findings and Recommendations (Doc. #45) |
| 18 | recommending that Defendants R.L. Heise, Jr., and C. Campbell's Motion to Dismiss |
| 19 | (Doc. #41) should be granted in part and denied in part. On October 11, 2010, |
| 20 | Defendants filed their Objections (Doc. #48). |
| 21 | The Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the record in this case in |
| 22 | accordance with 28 U.S.C.§ 636(b)(1) and determines that Magistrate Judge Foley's |
| 23 | Report of Findings and Recommendation should be Affirmed. |
| 24 | /// |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| | |

| 1 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Foley's Report |
|----|---|
| 2 | of Findings and Recommendation (Doc. #45) is Affirmed. |
| 3 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. |
| 4 | #41) the retaliation claim against Defendant Campbell based on allegations that |
| 5 | Campbell prevented Plaintiff from filing an administrative grievance be GRANTED. |
| 6 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #41) |
| 7 | claim against Defendant Heise based on allegations that Heise destroyed Plaintiff's |
| 8 | grievance is DENIED . |
| 9 | |
| 10 | DATED: November 3, 2010. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Chip M. On PHILIP M. PRO |
| 13 | United States District Judge |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | 2 |
| | 2 |

I