1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ANTHONY RICARDO TURNER,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-07-2058 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,
14	Respondents. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. See 28
17	U.S.C. § 2254. On December 8, 2008, the court dismissed petitioner's original petition. That
18	order set forth the deficiencies in the petition, directed petitioner to file an amended petition
19	curing the deficiencies within 20 days and warned him that failure to do so would result in a
20	recommendation that this action be dismissed.
21	The 20 days have passed and petitioner has not filed an amended petition or otherwise
22	responded to that order.
23	Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. <i>See</i> Fed. R.
24	Civ. P. 41(b); Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases; L. R. 11-110.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
	1
	l

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: February 13, 2009. EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE