1	
1	
2	
3	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	AZHAR LAL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2060 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	T. FELKER, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis and without counsel in a civil rights
17	action.
18	On June 24, 2009, the United States Marshal returned the standard forms for process
19	receipt and return which were directed to defendants Barter and Cooper unserved. The return
20	forms for these defendants bear three identical notations. The first states that the complaint and
21	requests for waivers were mailed on April 9, 2009. Dckt. 47. The second notation is dated May
22	11, 2009, and states, "per facility - none." Id. The third is dated June 22, 2009, and states, "per
23	CDC locator - none." Id. Plaintiff must provide new information about where these defendants
24	may be served with process. Plaintiff may seek such information through the California Public
25	Records Act, California Government Code §§ 6250, et seq., or any other means available.
26	////

1

However, he must proceed with haste because Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) requires an action be
 dismissed as to a defendant not served within 120 days after filing the complaint unless the time
 is enlarged based upon a demonstration of good cause. If plaintiff's access to the required
 information is denied or unreasonably delayed, plaintiff may seek judicial intervention.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

6 1. The Clerk of the Court shall mail plaintiff two forms USM-285 and a copy of the
7 pleading filed May 5, 2008.

8 2. Within 60 days from the date this order is served, plaintiff must submit the attached
9 Notice of Submission of Documents with completed forms USM-285 providing instructions for
10 service of process upon defendants Barter and Cooper and two copies of the pleading provided
11 to plaintiff.

3. Failure to provide new instructions for service of process upon defendants Barter and
 Cooper within the time allowed or to show good cause for such failure will result in a
 recommendation that this action be dismissed as to those defendants.

15 DATED: July 7, 2009.

Lik m

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	AZHAR LAL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2060 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13 14	T. FELKER, et al., Defendants. <u>NOTICE OF SUBMISSION</u> <u>OF DOCUMENTS</u>
14	
16	Plaintiff hereby submits the following documents in compliance with the court's
17	order filed
18	<u>One</u> completed summons
19	<u>Two</u> completed USM-285 forms
20	Two copies of the May 5, 2008 Amended Complaint
21	
22	DATED:
23	
24	Plaintiff
25	
26	