

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ABEL CANO,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-07-2203 KJM GGH P

vs.

B. ANKU, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff is a prison inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action. On July 15, 2011, plaintiff filed his third request for the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff's previous requests, filed on October 22, 2008 and July 13, 2010, were denied. The court notes that plaintiff is evidently due to be paroled on or about August 14, 2011, well prior to the date of the trial confirmation hearing, now scheduled for September 7, 2011. See docket # 89 & # 92.

As plaintiff has been informed, the United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright,

