1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RONNIE MANUEL ARELLANO,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2217 WBS DAD P
12	VS.
13	CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON SACRAMENTO, et al.,
14 15	Defendants.
16	By order filed December 10, 2008, plaintiff's second amended complaint was
17	dismissed and thirty days leave to file a third amended complaint was granted. The thirty day
18	period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a third amended complaint or otherwise
19	responded to the court's order.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
21	without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty
24	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
26	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
	1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: January 26, 2009.
4	2
5	Dale A. Droza
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	DAD:lg arel2217.fta(2)
8	are12217.ma(2)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
-	2

I

I