

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 SALLY McCARREL and BRIAN McCARREL,)
11)
12)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28

SALLY McCARREL and BRIAN McCARREL,) 2:07-cv-02267-GEB-DAD
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.) ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT
)
STRYKER CORPORATION,)
)
Defendant.)
)
)

16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28

On January 30, 2008, a Notice of Settlement was filed in this action. Therefore, a dispositional document shall be filed no later than February 19, 2008. Failure to respond by this deadline may be construed as consent to dismissal of this action without prejudice, and a dismissal order could be filed. See L.R. 16-160(b) ("A failure to file dispositional papers on the date prescribed by the Court may be grounds for sanctions.").

23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28

The status conference scheduled for March 17, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., will remain on calendar in the event that no dispositional document is filed, or if this action is not otherwise dismissed.

1 Further, a joint status report shall be filed fourteen days prior to
2 the status conference.¹

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: February 5, 2008

5 
6 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
7 United States District Judge

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 ¹ The status conference will remain on calendar, because
26 the mere representation that an action has been settled does not
27 justify removal of the action from a district court's trial docket.
28 Cf. Callie v. Near, 829 F.2d 888, 890 (9th Cir. 1987) (indicating
that a representation that claims have been settled does not
necessarily establish the existence of a binding settlement
agreement).