A certificate of appealability should be granted for any issue that petitioner can demonstrate is "'debatable among jurists of reason,'" could be resolved differently by a different court, or is "'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.'" *Jennings v. Woodford*,

24

25

26

Doc. 34

290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).<sup>1</sup>

Petitioner has not met this standard. Federal habeas corpus relief is not available for the alleged error in application of California sentencing law. Petitioner has not properly alleged a violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States. Although his claim based on *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U.S. 296 (2004) arguably raised constitutional implications, for the reason set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations, that claim is moot.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability shall not issue in the present action.

DATED: July 8, 2009

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Except for the requirement that appealable issues be specifically identified, the standard for issuance of a certificate of appealability is the same as the standard that applied to issuance of a certificate of probable cause. *Jennings*, at 1010.