court must impose on the defendant the expenses later incurred in making service

25

26

Doc. 44

Marshal the sum of \$98.13, unless he filed a written statement showing good cause for failing to waive service. Dckt. No. 21. On November 9, 2009, defendant's counsel filed a response to the court's order, claiming that "Stephens was not familiar with the procedure for responding to the mailed service of process" and therefore, "failed to timely respond." Dckt. No. 25. The Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 state that a showing of good cause for failing to waive service "should be rare" and that sufficient cause would exist if "the defendant did not receive the request or was insufficiently literate in English to understand it." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d), advisory committee's note (1993 Amendments). While Stephens has claimed ignorance of his duty to avoid the unnecessary expenses of serving summons, he has not shown the requisite good cause.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The United States Marshal's requests for reimbursement for costs of personal service upon defendant Stephens, filed on October 14, 2009, is granted.
- 2. Defendant Stephens shall, with fourteen days of the date of this order, pay to the United States Marshal the sum of \$98.13.
- 3. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order on the United States Marshal, Sacramento, California.

Dated: May 3, 2010.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE