I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	IVAN KILGORE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2485 GEB DAD P
12	VS.
13	RICHARD MANDEVILLE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Defendants have requested a forty-five day extension of time to file a motion for
17	summary judgment in this action. Good cause appearing, the court will grant defendants'
18	request.
19	The court also notes, however, that on August 25, 2009, plaintiff filed a motion to
20	compel. Defendants have not opposed the motion or otherwise responded to it. Under the
21	court's April 15, 2008 order, the parties are required to brief motions concerning discovery.
22	Accordingly, the court will direct defendants to file an opposition or a statement of non-
23	opposition to plaintiff's motion to compel within twenty-one days. See Local Rule 230(1)
24	(formerly Local Rule 78-230(m)).
25	////
26	////
	1

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Defendants' December 2, 2009 motion for an extension of time (Doc. No. 52)
3	is granted;
4	2. Defendants shall file any motion for summary judgment which they wish to
5	pursue or before January 11, 2010; and
6	3. Defendants are directed to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition
7	to plaintiff's August 25, 2008 motion to compel within twenty-one days. Plaintiff shall file a
8	reply, if any, in accordance with Local Rule 230(1).
9	DATED: December 7, 2009.
10	2
11	Dale A. Drogd DALE A. DROZD
12	DAD:9 kilg2485.36msj
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2

I