

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMIE ARIAS-MALDONADO,

No. 2:07-cv-02506-MCE-EFB P

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

SUPERIOR COURT OF  
SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On September 24, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. After an extension of time, plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


///  
///  
///

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304,  
2 this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,  
3 the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper  
4 analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 24, 2008, are adopted in full; and,
- 7 2. This action is dismissed with prejudice for plaintiff's failure to state a claim. *See* 28  
8 U.S.C. § 1915A; *see also Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1128 (9th Cir. 2000) (indigent prisoner  
9 proceeding without counsel must be given leave to file amended complaint unless the court can  
10 rule out any possibility that the plaintiff could state a claim).
- 11 3. The Clerk is directed to close the file.

12 Dated: January 26, 2009

13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26